
Budget and Waitlist Work Session

June 28, 2017



Budget Work Session 6/28/2017 Presented
by JoLynn Berge

Agenda

Budget

1. Legislative Session update
2. Restoration plan (final for 2017-18)
3. Outcome

Waitlist

1. Background
2. Portables
3. Options
4. Outcome

BUDGET



Outcome for Budget

- Consensus on final piece of a restoration plan for 2017-18

Legislative Session Update

- Legislative delay leaves us with a \$50m deficit
- 3rd Special Session in progress
- ???

Restoration Summary To Date

- Restored \$24.4m from levy cliff
- Restored \$3.4m from anticipated per pupil inflator.
- Total of \$27.8m restored and consensus reached to date.

Restoration Summary To Date

Restoration Summary for \$74m Worst Case for 2017-18		
2017-18 Cuts	Items that were reduced	Approved - Amount Restored - \$24.4m Levy cliff bill + anticipated Per Pupil Inflation \$6m (A)
Economic Reserve Fund*	\$ 11,500,000	\$ -
WSS Formula	\$ 16,600,000	\$ 16,600,000
Equity Funding for High Need Schools	\$ -	\$ 2,800,000
24 Credits	\$ 6,600,000	\$ -
Central Office reductions	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 500,000
District contingency reserve	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -
Mitigations	\$ -	\$ -
Waitlists	\$ -	\$ -
Fall enrollment reserve	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -
Funding to reduce school splits	\$ 1,800,000	\$ -
K-5 ELA Adoption	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 5,400,000
Middle School Math	\$ 1,800,000	\$ -
2017-18 Funding for curriculum	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -
Title II/STAR, etc	\$ -	\$ 500,000
SMART Goals/EOG	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000
Other Needs (HCC, district goals, IB etc.)	\$ -	\$ 500,000
Total	\$ 55,800,000	\$ 27,800,000



What to do with Last Minute New Revenue?

When the state budget is passed, AND IF we receive more revenue than anticipated, what should be done with that increase?

- SMART Goal funding
- More for equity High Needs schools
- Fall enrollment/split classroom adjustments
- Middle School math
- Central admin restoration
- Other

Staff Recommendation for additional restorations

Total of \$7.8m

1. \$2m for Equity/Mitigations
2. \$2m for Fall enrollment adjustments
3. \$1m for Central Office
4. \$1.8m for MS math
5. \$1m for SMART Goals

The “Why”

1. Identified school needs
2. Minimize staffing changes for students
3. Restore total of \$1.5m out of \$4m in reductions for needed staff and critical work
4. Complete new math adoption work
5. To enable all goals to reach for Proficiency vs. Basic

Staff Recommendation for additional restorations

Restoration Summary for \$74m Worst Case for 2017-18

2017-18 Cuts	Items that were reduced	Approved - Amount Restored - \$24.4m Levy cliff bill + anticipated Per Pupil Inflation \$6m (A)	PROPOSED Recommendation for any remaining funds (per Supt on 6/13) (B)	Total Amounts to be restored and/or funded (A+B)
Economic Reserve Fund*	\$ 11,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
WSS Formula	\$ 16,600,000	\$ 16,600,000	\$ -	\$ 16,600,000
Equity Funding for High Need Schools	\$ -	\$ 2,800,000	\$ -	\$ 2,800,000
24 Credits	\$ 6,600,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Central Office reductions	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,500,000
District contingency reserve	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Mitigations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
Waitlists	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fall enrollment reserve	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
Funding to reduce school splits	\$ 1,800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
K-5 ELA Adoption	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 5,400,000	\$ -	\$ 5,400,000
Middle School Math	\$ 1,800,000	\$ -	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000
2017-18 Funding for curriculum	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Title II/STAR, etc	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000
SMART Goals/EOG	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 2,500,000
Other Needs (HCC, district goals, IB etc.)	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000
Total	\$ 55,800,000	\$ 27,800,000	\$ 7,800,000	\$ 35,600,000

Staff Recommendation for additional restorations

Levy Cliff	\$ 24,400,000
Anticipated amount to be received for per pupil nflator	<u>\$ 6,000,000</u>
Sub total for resources	\$ 30,400,000
Total amount of recommended restorations	<u>\$ 35,600,000</u>
Gap, would need to have additional dollars funded, or restoration amounts (only the \$7.8m June 13 part) prorated	\$ (5,200,000)

Outcome for Budget

Outcome for Budget

- Consensus on \$7.8m additional restorations

WAITLISTS



Wait Lists – What are our policies and practices?

- Attendance area policy
 - Implemented as a result of problems with Choice/Free Market previous policy
 - More equitable
 - More predictable for families
- Option School policy
 - Allows for families to have alternatives to attendance area schools
- Waitlist moves are predicated on staffing, not just space capacity
- Waitlists for Option Schools continue to be moved based on available seats in classrooms

Waitlist Considerations

- Is there capacity within the WSS staffing allocation?
- Is there physical room to add another teacher/class?
- Would it create more split classes? Overage costs?
- Would it negatively impact/deplete other schools?

Background

- Families are advocating for all waitlist moves at schools with physical capacity, stating that is what the Student Assignment Plan says.
- Student Assignment Plan says “capacity”. That has been interpreted by our families are only referring to physical space.
- “Capacity”, as used for operational purposes, refers to both physical space and staffing.

Background

- “Staffing capacity” means whether the waitlist moves could be done within the staffing allocated to the school based on attendance area enrollment.
- Longstanding actual process/practice is that both physical space and staffing determines the number of waitlist moves at a school.
- This difference in interpretation is being highlighted, partially because of the opening of many new schools, which resulted in many schools now having physical space available.

Background

- There were 6,332 students on waitlists for 2017-18.
- 3,288 have been given a choice assignment, leaving 3,044 on waitlists, mostly for attendance area schools.

Portables

- At June 7th board meeting, board directors asked if more portables could be moved onto certain school campuses, to increase the number of classrooms and thereby enable more waitlist moves to occur.
- Specifically, Ingraham High School was identified.

Portables

- Currently all portables being moved onto high school campuses are needed for attendance area growth, even if ALL the high school waitlists are moved.
- Ingraham High School has four portables moving onto it already, any additional moves would trigger a SEPA environmental review, which takes 4-6 months.

Analysis Performed

- After updating June enrollment, staff identified waitlist moves that could be processed using our regular methodology
 - No staffing changes are generated for either sending or receiving schools
 - Reminder: elementary staffing is allocated in 1.0 FTE units, and MS and HS in .2 FTE units
- This resulted in identifying approximately 258 number of students to be moved on the waitlist.
- We are referring to this as “Option 1”.

Analysis Performed

- Additional analysis was performed that factored in ALL of the waitlist moves for middle and schools, for which there is physical space.
- This resulted in identifying approximately 556 number of students to be moved on the waitlist.
- We are referring to this as “Option 2”.

Staff Recommendation

- Pros and Cons were reviewed and debated
- Remain with Option 1, which is past practice.
- Conclusion is that Option 2 would result in a significant amount of disruption for a minimal number of additional waitlist moves.

Option 2 – Pros and Cons

Pros

1. More students would move on the waitlist
2. It could be cost neutral (no backfill for staff or program impacts)
3. Opening of new schools resulted in more physical space for waitlist moves
4. Greater equity in access to choice seats. All students across the district have access to a choice seat at a school if it has physical capacity.

Option 2 – Pros and Cons

Cons

1. Negatively impacts students at sending schools, which results in costs to backfill staff
2. Creates additional staffing displacements, which undermines early hiring initiative and lowers candidate quality
3. Creates expectations for future waitlist moves that are not sustainable
4. Would draw a significant number of students from Rainier Beach, when district has been working to grow enrollment.
5. Does not align with our attendance area school policy.
6. We have not conducted community engagement for this Option. Larger number of families negatively impacted
 - These schools/families don't know that they would be impacted and we haven't heard from them, but we will

Option 1 vs. Option 2 – staffing impact

Option 1 – Moving 258 students on the waitlist, which would result in:

- Net change in staffing is minimized

Option 2 - Moving 556 students on the waitlist (schools that have physical capacity), which would result in:

- Net change in staffing is higher/more volatile

Waitlists – Opportunities for Improvement

- What schools should have a waitlist (i.e. only those not already over physical space capacity), refine list of schools/grades
- Clarify what “capacity” means
- Clarify timeline for decisions

Outcome

Outcome for Waitlist

- Consensus on Option 1 or Option 2

ENROLLMENT AND WAITLIST CHANGE METHODOLOGY

Background:

Enrollment

Adjustments due to changes in enrollment projections are being made for schools whose updated projections are *10 or more students up or down from the previous projection*. As a reminder, core staffing will not be adjusted at this time, and the AAFTE factor is being applied to all grades. We anticipate another set of adjustments following the start of school, which will be based on actual enrollment. At that point, core staffing will be reviewed for possible adjustments.

Waitlists

The district received and processed nearly 6,000 choice enrollment applications for the 2017-18 school year. Over 3,200 students received a requested choice assignment, and over 2,800 students received their first choice. Although more than 50% of the students who participated in the choice process received a placement, many students are still on school waitlists. Waitlist moves take place from the time new assignments are sent to families to August 31 when the waitlist dissolves. Waitlists moves are made based on the seats available within the current staffing allocations and with an assessment of the impact on the sending and receiving school. District staff make as many moves as possible that do not affect staffing. Due to the \$50 million budget shortfall for 2017-18, the district has been conducting additional analysis around waitlist moves. Because of this analysis, waitlists have not moved thus far at attendance area schools.

Shared Value:

We are “committed to equitable access, closing opportunity gaps & excellence in education for every student.”

Waitlist Considerations by School Type:

Waitlist	
Elementary and K-8 Schools – Only move waitlists that do not impact staffing (sending school’s loss of staff)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Does not pull current staffing or students from the movement of the waitlists which is consistent with past practice.• Moving waitlists and staffing could negatively affect the students still at the sending school.• Does not create a precedent for a new practice that might not be sustainable in the future
Middle Schools – Two options, one of which is to move all waitlists (except those with space constraints)	<p><u>Option 1</u> is to process waitlist moves that do not or minimally impact staffing, which would be consistent with past practice, and would eliminate most of the staffing changes noted in “Total FTE Change from Waitlist” column.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Given MS staffing formulas, typically few waitlists moves would be possible because it impacts staffing (few moves quickly get to .2 FTE staffing changes) <p><u>Option 2</u> is to move all of the waitlists, which would expand past practice.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• This year we have two new middle schools AND overall enrollment increases, which results in more space for waitlist moves and a <u>unique</u> situation to address potential waitlist moves, which have not been done in the past. Enrollment changes impact staffing at all but four middle schools, which made this option possible for consideration.• Greater equity in access to choice seats. All students across the district have access to a choice seat at a school if it has physical capacity

ENROLLMENT AND WAITLIST CHANGE METHODOLOGY

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could create a precedent for an ongoing practice that is not sustainable if schools losing staff are backfilled for lost staff. • Creates more movement and change in master schedules, which is difficult to administer. • This option is viable financially, as overall net additional staffing is about the same as would be added for enrollment projection updates.
<p>High Schools – Two options, one of which is to move most waitlists (except those with space constraints)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not create additional classrooms or add portables at any school not already designated to receive them. <p><u>Option 1</u> is to process waitlist moves that do not or minimally impact staffing, which would be consistent with past practice, and would eliminate most of the staffing changes noted in “Total FTE Change from Waitlist” column.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given HS staffing formulas, typically few waitlists moves (limited moves for Rainier Beach) would be possible because it impacts staffing (few moves quickly get to .2 FTE staffing changes) • Rainier Beach could lose over 40 students in waitlist moves, which would likely require backfilling of staff to maintain academic programs, and negate previous enrollment gains at this school. <p><u>Option 2</u> is to move all of the waitlists (including Rainier Beach) where there is space available. Moving all waitlists would expand past practice. Enrollment changes impact staffing at all but two high schools, so there considerable movement already taking place, which contributed to making this option viable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could create a precedent for an ongoing practice that is not sustainable if schools losing staff are backfilled for lost staff. • Creates more movement and change in master schedules, which is difficult to administer. • This option is viable financially, as overall net additional staffing is about the same as would be added for enrollment projection updates.
<p>Option/Non-Traditional Schools</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most of the movement is a result of enrollment projection updates. • Waitlist moves have minimal impact, and would continue to move where there is space available.

2017-18 --June Enrollment Projection Staffing Adjustment -- **Option 1**

										Recommended *		
										Teacher Adj (includes PCP) **		
										Total FTE	Total FTE	Net
										Change	Change	Change
										from	from	
										Projection	Waitlist	
										(W)	(X)	(Y)
										(M + Q)	(N + R)	(W + X)
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)			
Projected 17-18 AAFTE		Waitlist Moves			Net Enroll Δ							
School		Original Projected (WSS staffing)	Revised (June) w/out waitlist	Net Δ Enrollment Projection Only	Waitlist IN	Est. Waitlist OUT	Proposed Waitlist Moves (AAFTE)	Net Δ for June Enroll Projections (E+H)	% Change			
AD	Adams Elem	562	577	15	-	(1)	(1)	14.0	2%	1.00	-	1.0
AL	Alki Elem	369	368	(1)	-	-	0	(1.0)	0%	-	-	-
AH	Arbor Heights Elem	478	490	12	-	-	0	12.0	3%	-	-	-
DA	B.F. Day Elem	265	281	16	-	-	0	16.0	6%	1.00	-	1.00
GT	Bailey Gatzert Elem	273	268	(5)	-	(1)	(1)	(6.0)	-2%	-	-	-
BH	Beacon Hill Elem	402	406	4	-	-	0	4.0	1%	-	-	-
BY	Bryant Elem	555	575	20	1	(3)	(2)	18.0	3%	1.00	-	1.00
LX	Cascadia Elem	541	541	0	-	-	0	-	0%	-	-	-
CK	Cedar Park Elem	74	63	(11)	1	-	1	(10.0)	-14%	n/a	-	-
CN	Concord Elem	368	355	(13)	-	(1)	(1)	(14.0)	-4%	(1.50)	-	(1.50)
BA	Daniel Bagley Elem	406	439	33	-	(1)	(1)	32.0	8%	1.50	-	1.50
DP	Dearborn Park Elem	339	341	2	-	-	0	2.0	1%	-	-	-
DR	Decatur Elem	261	261	0	-	-	0	-	0%	-	-	-
DU	Dunlap Elem	281	274	(7)	1	(1)	0	(7.0)	-2%	-	-	-
EM	Emerson Elem	315	293	(22)	3	(3)	0	(22.0)	-7%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
FP	Fairmount Park Elem	526	549	23	-	-	0	23.0	4%	1.00	-	1.00
CO	Franz Coe Elem	560	528	(32)	-	-	0	(32.0)	-6%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
GD	Gatewood Elem	389	362	(27)	-	-	0	(27.0)	-7%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
SC	Genesee Hill (Schmitz Park	756	718	(38)	-	-	0	(38.0)	-5%	(2.50)	-	(2.50)
GH	Graham Hill Elem	353	358	5	-	(3)	(3)	2.0	1%	-	-	-
GL	Green Lake Elem	425	433	8	-	(1)	(1)	7.0	2%	-	-	-
GW	Greenwood Elem	332	335	3	-	-	0	3.0	1%	-	-	-
HE	Hawthorne Elem	378	367	(11)	3	(2)	1	(10.0)	-3%	-	-	-
HK	Highland Park Elem	351	324	(27)	-	(1)	(1)	(28.0)	-8%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
HY	John Hay Elem	488	491	3	-	(4)	(4)	(1.0)	0%	-	-	-
MU	John Muir Elem	376	389	13	-	(1)	(1)	12.0	3%	1.00	-	1.00
RO	John Rogers Elem	370	348	(22)	-	(3)	(3)	(25.0)	-7%	(1.00)	n/a	(1.00)
LT	John Stanford Elem	450	460	10	4	-	4	14.0	3%	-	-	-
KI	Kimball Elem	416	435	19	3	(3)	0	19.0	5%	1.00	-	1.00
LA	Lafayette Elem	415	407	(8)	1	(1)	0	(8.0)	-2%	-	-	-
LR	Laurelhurst Elem	368	386	18	2	(5)	(3)	15.0	4%	1.00	-	1.00
LW	Lawton Elem	431	441	10	-	(2)	(2)	8.0	2%	-	-	-
LE	Leschi Elem	405	421	16	-	(3)	(3)	13.0	3%	1.50	-	1.50
LL	Lowell Elem	341	330	(11)	-	(5)	(5)	(16.0)	-5%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
LH	Loyal Heights Elem	398	401	3	-	(1)	(1)	2.0	1%	-	-	-
BN	M.L. King Jr Elem	268	277	9	3	(1)	2	11.0	4%	-	-	-
MO	Madrona K-5	245	257	12	4	(2)	2	14.0	6%	-	-	-
ME	Maple Elem	526	533	7	1	-	1	8.0	2%	-	-	-
MD	McDonald Elem	460	457	(3)	2	-	2	(1.0)	0%	-	-	-
MG	McGilvra Elem	255	245	(10)	-	(2)	(2)	(12.0)	-5%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
MT	Montlake Elem	238	260	22	-	(1)	(1)	21.0	9%	1.00	-	1.00
NB	North Beach Elem	330	337	7	1	-	1	8.0	2%	-	-	-
NG	Northgate Elem	292	277	(15)	3	(2)	1	(14.0)	-5%	-	-	-
OH	Olympic Hills Elem	354	377	23	1	(3)	(2)	21.0	6%	1.00	-	1.00
OV	Olympic View Elem	508	490	(18)	-	-	0	(18.0)	-4%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
QA	Queen Anne Elem	449	407	(42)	4	(1)	3	(39.0)	-9%	(2.00)	-	(2.00)
RV	Rainier View Elem	239	264	25	3	(6)	(3)	22.0	9%	1.50	-	1.50
RX	Roxhill Elem	314	300	(14)	1	-	1	(13.0)	-4%	-	-	-
SA	Sacajawea Elem	245	252	7	-	(1)	(1)	6.0	2%	-	-	-
SP	Sand Point Elem	221	204	(17)	1	(5)	(4)	(21.0)	-10%	-	-	-
SO	Sanislo Elem	245	247	2	3	-	3	5.0	2%	-	-	-
ST	Stevens Elem	263	263	0	12	(6)	6	6.0	2%	-	-	-
DE	Thornton Creek Elem	516	521	5	5	-	5	10.0	2%	-	-	-
TM	Thurgood Marshall Elem	535	539	4	4	-	4	8.0	1%	-	-	-
VA	Van Asselt Elem	455	451	(4)	-	(1)	(1)	(5.0)	-1%	-	-	-

2017-18 --June Enrollment Projection Staffing Adjustment -- **Option 1**

										Recommended *			
										Teacher Adj (includes PCP) **			
										Total FTE	Total FTE	Net	
										Change	Change	Change	
										from	from		
										Projection	Waitlist		
										(W)	(X)	(Y)	
										(M + Q)	(N + R)	(W + X)	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)				
Projected 17-18 AAFTE		Waitlist Moves			Net Enroll Δ								
Original Projected (WSS staffing)		Revised (June) w/out waitlist		Net Δ Enrollment Projection Only	Waitlist IN	Est. Waitlist OUT	Proposed Waitlist Moves (AAFTE)	Net Δ for June Enroll Projections (E+H)		% Change			
VR	View Ridge Elem	515	545	30	2	(1)	1	31.0	6%		1.00	-	1.00
VL	Viewlands Elem	409	404	(5)	-	-	0	(5.0)	-1%		-	-	-
WD	Wedgwood Elem	465	487	22	-	-	0	22.0	5%		1.50	-	1.50
HP	West Seattle Elem	427	420	(7)	-	(1)	(1)	(8.0)	-2%		-	-	-
WW	West Woodland Elem	548	574	26	-	-	0	26.0	5%		1.50	-	1.50
WR	Whittier Elem	480	495	15	-	-	0	15.0	3%		1.00	-	1.00
WL	Wing Luke Elem	330	330	0	2	(1)	1	1.0	0%		-	-	-
Total Elem Schools		24,149	24,228	79			(9)	70.0			4.50	-	4.50
BT	Broadview-Thomson K-8	570	529	(41)	2	(6)	(4)	(45.0)	-8%		(No change - MS min)		-
BL	Catherine Blaine K-8	806	772	(34)	2	-	2	(32.0)	-4%		(1.50)	-	(1.50)
JA	Hazel Wolf K-8	743	734	(9)	8	-	8	(1.0)	0%		-	-	-
PI	Licton Springs K-8	130	157	27	-	(2)	(2)	25.0	19%		1.00	-	1.00
BB	Louisa Boren STEM K-8	551	560	9	-	-	0	9.0	2%		-	-	-
OC	Orca K-8	396	414	18	-	(1)	(1)	17.0	4%		-	-	-
PA	Pathfinder K-8	507	499	(8)	3	(1)	2	(6.0)	-1%		-	-	-
NC	Salmon Bay K-8	677	663	(14)	5	-	5	(9.0)	-1%		-	-	-
NS	South Shore K-8	598	582	(16)	-	(2)	(2)	(18.0)	-3%		(1.00)	-	(1.00)
TO	TOPS K-8	491	486	(5)	5	(3)	2	(3.0)	-1%		-	-	-
Total K-8		5,469	5,396	(73)			10	(63.0)			(1.50)	-	(1.50)
AK	Aki Kurose MS	693	714	21	3	(4)	(1)	20.0	3%		0.80	-	0.80
DY	Denny MS	837	837	0	2	(2)	0	-	0%			(0.20)	(0.20)
EC	Eckstein MS	1,005	1,002	(3)	10	(13)	(3)	(6.0)	-1%			(0.20)	(0.20)
HA	Hamilton Intl. MS	941	972	31	-	(9)	(9)	22.0	2%		1.40	(0.40)	1.00
JM	Jane Addams MS	936	922	(14)	18	(3)	15	1.0	0%		(0.60)	0.60	-
MA	Madison MS	900	922	22	39	(43)	(4)	18.0	2%		1.20	(0.40)	0.80
MC	McClure MS	575	535	(40)	2	(2)	0	(40.0)	-7%		(1.60)	-	(1.60)
MY	Meany MS	463	502	39	11	(8)	3	42.0	9%		1.60	-	1.60
MR	Mercer MS	1,141	1,129	(12)	-	(4)	(4)	(16.0)	-1%		(0.60)	(0.20)	(0.80)
RE	Robert Eaglestaff MS	717	725	8	36	(38)	(2)	6.0	1%			(0.20)	(0.20)
WA	Washington MS	703	703	0	94	(92)	2	2.0	0%			0.20	0.20
WH	Whitman MS	497	568	71	70	(68)	2	73.0	15%		2.80	-	2.80
Total Middle Schs		9,408	9,531	123			(1)	122.0			5.00	(0.80)	4.20
BD	Ballard HS	1,806	1,818	12	-	(5)	(5)	7.0	0%		0.80	(0.40)	0.40
SH	Chief Sealth HS	1,002	1,017	15	6	(7)	(1)	14.0	1%		0.60	-	0.60
CL	Cleveland HS	834	835	1	35	16	51	52.0	6%			2.20	2.20
FR	Franklin HS	1,172	1,216	44	11	(41)	(30)	14.0	1%		1.80	(1.40)	0.40
GA	Garfield HS	1,756	1,785	29	-	-	0	29.0	2%		1.20	-	1.20
IN	Ingraham HS	1,258	1,263	5	-	-	0	5.0	0%			0.20	0.20
NH	Nathan Hale HS	1,092	1,157	65	25	(25)	0	65.0	6%		2.60	-	2.60
RB	Rainier Beach HS	646	661	15	-	(17)	(17)	(2.0)	0%		0.80	(0.80)	-
RT	Roosevelt HS	1,746	1,762	16	-	(2)	(2)	14.0	1%		0.80	(0.20)	0.60
WS	West Seattle HS	901	950	49	31	(34)	(3)	46.0	5%		2.00	(0.20)	1.80
Total High Schools		12,213	12,464	251			(7)	244.0			10.60	(0.60)	10.00
HS	Cascade K-12	158	158	0	-	-	0	-	0%				-
CS	Center School	202	222	20	10	(3)	7	27.0	13%		0.80	0.20	1.00
IA	InterAgency	517	462	(55)		(2)	(2)	(57.0)	-11%		(2.60)	(0.20)	(2.80)
DT	(Detention Center)	-	-	0		-	0	-			-	-	-
MI	Middle College	123	117	(6)		-	0	(6.0)	-5%		-	-	-
NV	Nova HS	318	320	2	-	(1)	(1)	1.0	0%			n/a	-
SL	South Lake HS	82	79	(3)		-	0	(3.0)	-4%		-	-	-
SD	World School	353	308	(45)		(3)	(3)	(48.0)	-14%		(1.80)	(0.20)	(2.00)

2017-18 --June Enrollment Projection Staffing Adjustment -- **Option 1**

		Projected 17-18 AAFTE			Waitlist Moves			Net Enroll Δ		Recommended * Teacher Adj (includes PCP) **		
(A)	(B) School	(C) Original Projected (WSS staffing)	(D) Revised (June) w/out waitlist	(E) Net Δ Enrollment Projection Only	(F) Waitlist IN	(G) Est. Waitlist OUT	(H) Proposed Waitlist Moves (AAFTE)	(I) Net Δ for June Enroll Projections (E+H)	(J) % Change	(W) Total FTE Change from Projection (M + Q)	(X) Total FTE Change from Waitlist (N + R)	(Y) Net Change (W + X)
SS	Skills Center HS	-	-	0		-	0	-			-	-
VT	Original Van Asselt (SPED)	97	96	(1)		-	0	(1.0)	-1%		-	-
	Total Non-Trad	1,850	1,762	(88)			1	(87.0)		(3.60)	(0.20)	(3.80)
	TOTAL ALL	53,089	53,381	292	499	(505)	(6)	286.0		15.00	(1.60)	13.40

* June adjustment recommendations based on net enrollment projection changes of 10 or more FTE

** Total FTE adjustment recommended includes any additional teachers for PCP coverage.

2017-18 --June Enrollment Projection Staffing Adjustment -- **Option 2**

										Recommended *				
										Teacher Adj (includes PCP) **				
										Total FTE	Total FTE	Net		
										Change	Change	Change		
										from	from			
										Projection	Waitlist			
										(W)	(X)	(Y)		
										(M + Q)	(N + R)	(W + X)		
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)					
School	Original Projected (WSS staffing)	Revised (June) w/out waitlist	Net Δ Enrollment Projection Only	Waitlist IN	Est. Waitlist OUT	Proposed Waitlist Moves (AAFTE)	Net Δ for June Enroll Projections (E+H)	% Change						
AD	Adams Elem	562	577	15	-	(1)	(1)	14.0	2%			1.00	-	1.0
AL	Alki Elem	369	368	(1)	-	-	0	(1.0)	0%			-	-	-
AH	Arbor Heights Elem	478	490	12	-	-	0	12.0	3%			-	-	-
DA	B.F. Day Elem	265	281	16	-	-	0	16.0	6%			1.00	-	1.00
GT	Bailey Gatzert Elem	273	268	(5)	-	(1)	(1)	(6.0)	-2%			-	-	-
BH	Beacon Hill Elem	402	406	4	-	-	0	4.0	1%			-	-	-
BY	Bryant Elem	555	575	20	1	(3)	(2)	18.0	3%			1.00	-	1.00
LX	Cascadia Elem	541	541	0	-	-	0	-	0%			-	-	-
CK	Cedar Park Elem	74	63	(11)	1	-	1	(10.0)	-14%			n/a	-	-
CN	Concord Elem	368	355	(13)	-	(1)	(1)	(14.0)	-4%			(1.50)	-	(1.50)
BA	Daniel Bagley Elem	406	439	33	-	(1)	(1)	32.0	8%			1.50	-	1.50
DP	Dearborn Park Elem	339	341	2	-	-	0	2.0	1%			-	-	-
DR	Decatur Elem	261	261	0	-	-	0	-	0%			-	-	-
DU	Dunlap Elem	281	274	(7)	1	(1)	0	(7.0)	-2%			-	-	-
EM	Emerson Elem	315	293	(22)	3	(3)	0	(22.0)	-7%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
FP	Fairmount Park Elem	526	549	23	-	-	0	23.0	4%			1.00	-	1.00
CO	Franz Coe Elem	560	528	(32)	-	-	0	(32.0)	-6%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
GD	Gatewood Elem	389	362	(27)	-	-	0	(27.0)	-7%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
SC	Genesee Hill (Schmitz Park	756	718	(38)	-	-	0	(38.0)	-5%			(2.50)	-	(2.50)
GH	Graham Hill Elem	353	358	5	-	(3)	(3)	2.0	1%			-	-	-
GL	Green Lake Elem	425	433	8	-	(1)	(1)	7.0	2%			-	-	-
GW	Greenwood Elem	332	335	3	-	-	0	3.0	1%			-	-	-
HE	Hawthorne Elem	378	367	(11)	3	(2)	1	(10.0)	-3%			-	-	-
HK	Highland Park Elem	351	324	(27)	-	(1)	(1)	(28.0)	-8%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
HY	John Hay Elem	488	491	3	-	(4)	(4)	(1.0)	0%			-	-	-
MU	John Muir Elem	376	389	13	-	(1)	(1)	12.0	3%			1.00	-	1.00
RO	John Rogers Elem	370	348	(22)	-	(3)	(3)	(25.0)	-7%			(1.00)	n/a	(1.00)
LT	John Stanford Elem	450	460	10	4	-	4	14.0	3%			-	-	-
KI	Kimball Elem	416	435	19	3	(3)	0	19.0	5%			1.00	-	1.00
LA	Lafayette Elem	415	407	(8)	1	(1)	0	(8.0)	-2%			-	-	-
LR	Laurelhurst Elem	368	386	18	2	(5)	(3)	15.0	4%			1.00	-	1.00
LW	Lawton Elem	431	441	10	-	(2)	(2)	8.0	2%			-	-	-
LE	Leschi Elem	405	421	16	-	(3)	(3)	13.0	3%			1.50	-	1.50
LL	Lowell Elem	341	330	(11)	-	(5)	(5)	(16.0)	-5%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
LH	Loyal Heights Elem	398	401	3	-	(1)	(1)	2.0	1%			-	-	-
BN	M.L. King Jr Elem	268	277	9	3	(1)	2	11.0	4%			-	-	-
MO	Madrona K-5	245	257	12	4	(2)	2	14.0	6%			-	-	-
ME	Maple Elem	526	533	7	1	-	1	8.0	2%			-	-	-
MD	McDonald Elem	460	457	(3)	2	-	2	(1.0)	0%			-	-	-
MG	McGilvra Elem	255	245	(10)	-	(2)	(2)	(12.0)	-5%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
MT	Montlake Elem	238	260	22	-	(1)	(1)	21.0	9%			1.00	-	1.00
NB	North Beach Elem	330	337	7	1	-	1	8.0	2%			-	-	-
NG	Northgate Elem	292	277	(15)	3	(2)	1	(14.0)	-5%			-	-	-
OH	Olympic Hills Elem	354	377	23	1	(3)	(2)	21.0	6%			1.00	-	1.00
OV	Olympic View Elem	508	490	(18)	-	-	0	(18.0)	-4%			(1.00)	-	(1.00)
QA	Queen Anne Elem	449	407	(42)	4	(1)	3	(39.0)	-9%			(2.00)	-	(2.00)
RV	Rainier View Elem	239	264	25	3	(6)	(3)	22.0	9%			1.50	-	1.50
RX	Roxhill Elem	314	300	(14)	1	-	1	(13.0)	-4%			-	-	-
SA	Sacajawea Elem	245	252	7	-	(1)	(1)	6.0	2%			-	-	-
SP	Sand Point Elem	221	204	(17)	1	(5)	(4)	(21.0)	-10%			-	-	-
SO	Sanislo Elem	245	247	2	3	-	3	5.0	2%			-	-	-
ST	Stevens Elem	263	263	0	12	(6)	6	6.0	2%			-	-	-
DE	Thornton Creek Elem	516	521	5	5	-	5	10.0	2%			-	-	-
TM	Thurgood Marshall Elem	535	539	4	4	-	4	8.0	1%			-	-	-

2017-18 --June Enrollment Projection Staffing Adjustment -- **Option 2**

		Projected 17-18 AAFTE			Waitlist Moves			Net Enroll Δ		Recommended * Teacher Adj (includes PCP) **		
School		Original Projected (WSS staffing)	Revised (June) w/out waitlist	Net Δ Enrollment Projection Only	Waitlist IN	Est. Waitlist OUT	Proposed Waitlist Moves (AAFTE)	Net Δ for June Enroll Projections (E+H)	% Change	Total FTE Change from Projection (W) (M + Q)	Total FTE Change from Waitlist (X) (N + R)	Net Change (Y) (W + X)
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(W)	(X)	(Y)
										(M + Q)	(N + R)	(W + X)
VA	Van Asselt Elem	455	451	(4)	-	(1)	(1)	(5.0)	-1%		-	-
VR	View Ridge Elem	515	545	30	2	(1)	1	31.0	6%	1.00	-	1.00
VL	Viewlands Elem	409	404	(5)	-	-	0	(5.0)	-1%		-	-
WD	Wedgwood Elem	465	487	22	-	-	0	22.0	5%	1.50	-	1.50
HP	West Seattle Elem	427	420	(7)	-	(1)	(1)	(8.0)	-2%		-	-
WW	West Woodland Elem	548	574	26	-	-	0	26.0	5%	1.50	-	1.50
WR	Whittier Elem	480	495	15	-	-	0	15.0	3%	1.00	-	1.00
WL	Wing Luke Elem	330	330	0	2	(1)	1	1.0	0%		-	-
Total Elem Schools		24,149	24,228	79			(9)	70.0		4.50	-	4.50
BT	Broadview-Thomson K-8	570	529	(41)	2	(6)	(4)	(45.0)	-8%	(No change - MS min) -		
BL	Catherine Blaine K-8	806	772	(34)	2	-	2	(32.0)	-4%	(1.50)	-	(1.50)
JA	Hazel Wolf K-8	743	734	(9)	8	-	8	(1.0)	0%		-	-
PI	Licton Springs K-8	130	157	27	-	(2)	(2)	25.0	19%	1.00	-	1.00
BB	Louisa Boren STEM K-8	551	560	9	-	-	0	9.0	2%		-	-
OC	Orca K-8	396	414	18	-	(1)	(1)	17.0	4%	-	-	-
PA	Pathfinder K-8	507	499	(8)	3	(1)	2	(6.0)	-1%		-	-
NC	Salmon Bay K-8	677	663	(14)	5	-	5	(9.0)	-1%	-	-	-
NS	South Shore K-8	598	582	(16)	-	(2)	(2)	(18.0)	-3%	(1.00)	-	(1.00)
TO	TOPS K-8	491	486	(5)	5	(3)	2	(3.0)	-1%		-	-
Total K-8		5,469	5,396	(73)			10	(63.0)		(1.50)	-	(1.50)
AK	Aki Kurose MS	693	714	21	3	(8)	(5)	16.0	2%	0.80	(0.20)	0.60
DY	Denny MS	837	837	0	2	(36)	(34)	(34.0)	-4%		(1.60)	(1.60)
EC	Eckstein MS	1,005	1,002	(3)	10	(10)	0	(3.0)	0%		-	-
HA	Hamilton Intl. MS	941	972	31	-	(12)	(12)	19.0	2%	1.40	(0.60)	0.80
JM	Jane Addams MS	936	922	(14)	18	(15)	3	(11.0)	-1%	(0.60)	(0.00)	(0.60)
MA	Madison MS	900	922	22	39	(16)	23	45.0	5%	1.20	0.80	2.00
MC	McClure MS	575	535	(40)	2	(4)	(2)	(42.0)	-7%	(1.60)	(0.20)	(1.80)
MY	Meany MS	463	502	39	11	(58)	(47)	(8.0)	-2%	1.60	(2.00)	(0.40)
MR	Mercer MS	1,141	1,129	(12)	-	(13)	(13)	(25.0)	-2%	(0.60)	(0.60)	(1.20)
RE	Robert Eaglestaff MS	717	725	8	36	(71)	(35)	(27.0)	-4%		(1.40)	(1.40)
WA	Washington MS	703	703	0	94	(14)	80	80.0	11%		3.20	3.20
WH	Whitman MS	497	568	71	70	(19)	51	122.0	25%	2.80	2.00	4.80
Total Middle Schs		9,408	9,531	123			9	132.0		5.00	(0.60)	4.40
BD	Ballard HS	1,806	1,818	12	-	(7)	(7)	5.0	0%	0.80	(0.60)	0.20
SH	Chief Sealth HS	1,002	1,017	15	6	(26)	(20)	(5.0)	0%	0.60	(0.80)	(0.20)
CL	Cleveland HS	834	835	1	35	16	51	52.0	6%		2.20	2.20
FR	Franklin HS	1,172	1,216	44	11	8	19	63.0	5%	1.80	0.80	2.60
GA	Garfield HS	1,756	1,785	29	-	(10)	(10)	19.0	1%	1.20	(0.40)	0.80
IN	Ingraham HS	1,258	1,263	5	-	(3)	(3)	2.0	0%		-	-
NH	Nathan Hale HS	1,092	1,157	65	25	(5)	20	85.0	8%	2.60	0.80	3.40
RB	Rainier Beach HS	646	661	15	-	(62)	(62)	(47.0)	-7%	0.80	(2.60)	(1.80)
RT	Roosevelt HS	1,746	1,762	16	-	(9)	(9)	7.0	0%	0.80	(0.60)	0.20
WS	West Seattle HS	901	950	49	31	(11)	20	69.0	8%	2.00	0.80	2.80
Total High Schools		12,213	12,464	251			(1)	250.0		10.60	(0.40)	10.20
HS	Cascade K-12	158	158	0	-	-	0	-	0%		-	-
CS	Center School	202	222	20	10	(3)	7	27.0	13%	0.80	0.20	1.00
IA	InterAgency	517	462	(55)		(2)	(2)	(57.0)	-11%	(2.60)	(0.20)	(2.80)
DT	(Detention Center)	-	-	0		-	0	-			-	-
MI	Middle College	123	117	(6)		-	0	(6.0)	-5%		-	-
NV	Nova HS	318	320	2	-	(1)	(1)	1.0	0%		n/a	-
SL	South Lake HS	82	79	(3)		-	0	(3.0)	-4%		-	-

2017-18 --June Enrollment Projection Staffing Adjustment -- **Option 2**

		Projected 17-18 AAFTE			Waitlist Moves			Net Enroll Δ		Recommended * Teacher Adj (includes PCP) **		
School		Original Projected (WSS staffing)	Revised (June) w/out waitlist	Net Δ Enrollment Projection Only	Waitlist IN	Est. Waitlist OUT	Proposed Waitlist Moves (AAFTE)	Net Δ for June Enroll Projections (E+H)	% Change	Total FTE Change from Projection	Total FTE Change from Waitlist	Net Change
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(W) (M + Q)	(X) (N + R)	(Y) (W + X)
SD	World School	353	308	(45)		(3)	(3)	(48.0)	-14%	(1.80)	(0.20)	(2.00)
SS	Skills Center HS	-	-	0		-	0	-		-	-	-
VT	Original Van Asselt (SPED)	97	96	(1)		-	0	(1.0)	-1%	-	-	-
Total Non-Trad		1,850	1,762	(88)			1	(87.0)		(3.60)	(0.20)	(3.80)
TOTAL ALL		53,089	53,381	292	499	(489)	10	302.0		15.00	(1.20)	13.80

* June adjustment recommendations based on net enrollment projection changes of 10 or more FTE

** Total FTE adjustment recommended includes any additional teachers for PCP coverage.

SchoolType	School Name	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Inbound Waitlist Moves Simulated	Waitlist Length to Enter School	% of Waitlist Moved
Elementary / K-8	Broadview-Thomson	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					2	8	25%
	Bryant	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	16	6%
	Cascadia	0	0	0	0	0									0	5	0%
	Catharine Blaine	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					2	24	8%
	Concord Intl.**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	1	0%
	Decatur	0	0	0	0	0									0	14	0%
	Dunlap	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	11	9%
	Emerson	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	5	60%
	Hawthorne	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	18	17%
	John Rogers	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	0	N/A
	Kimball	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	22	14%
	Lafayette	0	0	0	1	0	0								1	17	6%
	Laurelhurst	0	0	2	0	0	0								2	5	40%
	Madrona	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					4	10	40%
	Maple	0	0	0	0	1	0								1	20	5%
	Martin Luther King Jr.	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	10	30%
	North Beach	0	0	0	1	0	0								1	6	17%
	Northgate	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	6	50%
	Olympic Hills	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	10	10%
	Rainier View	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	6	50%
	Roxhill	0	0	0	0	1	0								1	6	17%
	Sand Point	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	4	25%
	Sanislo	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	3	100%
	Stevens	6	0	0	0	0	0								6	21	29%
	T. Marshall	4	0	0	0	0	0								4	52	8%
	View Ridge	2	0	0	0	0	0								2	53	4%
	Wing Luke	2	0	0	0	0	0								2	34	6%
	Graham Hill**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	25	0%
	Arbor Heights**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	49	0%
	Highland Park**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	9	0%
	West Seattle Elem.**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	1	0%
	Green Lake*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	5	0%
	Olympic View**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Sacajawea**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	6	0%
	Wedgwood**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	35	0%
	B. F. Day**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	7	0%
	West Woodland*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	25	0%
	Alki**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	23	0%
	Fairmount Park*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	105	0%
	Gatewood**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	12	0%
	Genesee Hill*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	11	0%
	Coe*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	10	0%
John Hay**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	13	0%	
Lawton**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	47	0%	
Beacon Hill Intl.*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	34	0%	
Dearborn Park**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	33	0%	
Van Asselt**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	10	0%	
Gatzert**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	11	0%	
John Muir**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%	
Leschi*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	18	0%	
Lowell*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	6	0%	
McGilvra**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	7	0%	
Montlake*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	20	0%	
Adams**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	11	0%	
Daniel Bagley**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	48	0%	

SchoolType	School Name	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Inbound Waitlist Moves Simulated	Waitlist Length to Enter School	% of Waitlist Moved
	Greenwood**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Loyal Heights*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	5	0%
	Viewlands**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Whittier*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	24	0%
High School	Chief Sealth Intl.										0	0	0	0	0	6	0%
	Franklin										0	0	0	0	0	52	0%
	Ingraham										0	0	0	0	0	93	0%
	Nathan Hale										0	0	0	0	0	26	0%
	Rainier Beach										0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
	West Seattle										0	0	0	0	0	33	0%
	Ballard*										0	0	0	0	0	37	0%
	Garfield*										0	0	0	0	0	93	0%
	Roosevelt*										0	0	0	0	0	100	0%
Middle School	Aki Kurose						0	0	0						0	3	0%
	Denny Intl.						1	0	0						1	2	50%
	Eagle Staff						11	8	8						27	36	75%
	Eckstein						10	0	0						10	12	83%
	Jane Addams MS						23	1	2						26	43	60%
	Madison						0	0	0						0	39	0%
	McClure						2	0	0						2	2	100%
	Meany						4	5	0						9	11	82%
	Washington						11	1	0						12	94	13%
	Whitman						4	7	8						19	70	27%
	Hamilton Intl.*						0	0	0						0	111	0%
	Mercer*						0	0	0						0	52	0%
Option School	Cedar Park	1	0	0	0	0	0								1	1	100%
	Center School										10	0	0	0	10	10	100%
	Cleveland										27	15	7	2	51	76	67%
	Hazel Wolf	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	0					8	355	2%
	J. Stanford Intl.	4	0	0	0	0	0								4	28	14%
	Licton Springs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	N/A
	McDonald	0	2	0	0	0	0								2	47	4%
	Nova										0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
	Pathfinder	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0					3	96	3%
	Queen Anne	0	0	4	0	0	0								4	4	100%
	Salmon Bay	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0					5	73	7%
	Thornton Creek	0	2	0	0	2	2								6	70	9%
	TOPS	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0					5	47	11%
	South Shore**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	57	0%
	K-8 STEM at Boren**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	186	0%
	Orca**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	26	0%
Inbound Waitlist Moves Simulated		50	5	6	3	7	7	76	25	18	37	15	7	2	258	3044	8%

Note that 3,288 students have already been given choice assignments for 2017-18

* Indicates School has no available physical capacity to accommodate additional students
 ** Indicates Classes are full at that grade, or adjacent grade, and additional moves may trigger more staff

SchoolType	School Name	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Inbound Waitlist Moves Simulated	Waitlist Length to Enter School	% of Waitlist Moved
Elementary /																	
K-8	Broadview-Thomson	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					2	8	25%
	Bryant	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	16	6%
	Cascadia		0	0	0	0	0								0	5	0%
	Catharine Blaine	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					2	24	8%
	Concord Intl.**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	1	0%
	Decatur		0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Dunlap	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	11	9%
	Emerson	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	5	60%
	Hawthorne	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	18	17%
	John Rogers	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	0	N/A
	Kimball	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	22	14%
	Lafayette	0	0	0	1	0	0								1	17	6%
	Laurelhurst	0	0	2	0	0	0								2	5	40%
	Madrona	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					4	10	40%
	Maple	0	0	0	0	1	0								1	20	5%
	Martin Luther King Jr.	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	10	30%
	North Beach	0	0	0	1	0	0								1	6	17%
	Northgate	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	6	50%
	Olympic Hills	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	10	10%
	Rainier View	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	6	50%
	Roxhill	0	0	0	0	1	0								1	6	17%
	Sand Point	0	0	0	0	0	1								1	4	25%
	Sanislo	3	0	0	0	0	0								3	3	100%
	Stevens	6	0	0	0	0	0								6	21	29%
	T. Marshall	4	0	0	0	0	0								4	52	8%
	View Ridge	2	0	0	0	0	0								2	53	4%
	Wing Luke	2	0	0	0	0	0								2	34	6%
	Graham Hill**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	25	0%
	Arbor Heights**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	49	0%
	Highland Park**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	9	0%
	West Seattle Elem.**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	1	0%
	Green Lake*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	5	0%
	Olympic View**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Sacajawea**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	6	0%
	Wedgwood**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	35	0%
	B. F. Day**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	7	0%
	West Woodland*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	25	0%
	Alki**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	23	0%
	Fairmount Park*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	105	0%
	Gatewood**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	12	0%
	Genesee Hill*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	11	0%
	Coe*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	10	0%
	John Hay**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	13	0%
	Lawton**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	47	0%
	Beacon Hill Intl.*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	34	0%
	Dearborn Park**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	33	0%
	Van Asselt**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	10	0%
	Gatzert**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	11	0%
	John Muir**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Leschi*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	18	0%
	Lowell*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	6	0%
	McGilvra**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	7	0%
	Montlake*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	20	0%
	Adams**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	11	0%
	Daniel Bagley**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	48	0%

SchoolType	School Name	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Inbound Waitlist Moves Simulated	Waitlist Length to Enter School	% of Waitlist Moved
	Greenwood**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Loyal Heights*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	5	0%
	Viewlands**	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	14	0%
	Whittier*	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	24	0%
High School	Chief Sealth Intl.										4	1	1	0	6	6	100%
	Franklin										48	2	2	0	52	52	100%
	Ingraham										0	0	0	0	0	93	0%
	Nathan Hale										12	10	3	1	26	26	100%
	Rainier Beach										0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
	West Seattle										27	4	2	0	33	33	100%
	Ballard*										0	0	0	0	0	37	0%
	Garfield*										0	0	0	0	0	93	0%
	Roosevelt*										0	0	0	0	0	100	0%
Middle School	Aki Kurose						2	1	0						3	3	100%
	Denny Intl.						1	1	0						2	2	100%
	Eagle Staff						20	8	8						36	36	100%
	Eckstein						10	0	0						10	12	83%
	Jane Addams MS						18	0	0						18	43	42%
	Madison						28	6	5						39	39	100%
	McClure						2	0	0						2	2	100%
	Meany						4	5	2						11	11	100%
	Washington						33	23	38						94	94	100%
	Whitman						8	32	30						70	70	100%
	Hamilton Intl.*						0	0	0						0	111	0%
	Mercer*						0	0	0						0	52	0%
Option School	Cedar Park	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						1	1	100%
	Center School										10	0	0	0	10	10	100%
	Cleveland										28	16	7	2	53	76	70%
	Hazel Wolf	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	0					8	355	2%
	J. Stanford Intl.	4	0	0	0	0	0								4	28	14%
	Licton Springs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	N/A
	McDonald	0	2	0	0	0	0								2	47	4%
	Nova										0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
	Pathfinder	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0					3	96	3%
	Queen Anne	0	0	4	0	0	0								4	4	100%
	Salmon Bay	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0					5	73	7%
	Thornton Creek	0	2	0	0	2	2								6	70	9%
	TOPS	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0					5	47	11%
	South Shore**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	57	0%
	K-8 STEM at Boren**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	186	0%
	Orca**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	26	0%
Inbound Waitlist Moves Simulated		50	5	6	3	7	7	136	79	83	129	33	15	3	556	3044	18%

Note that 3,288 students have already been given choice assignments for 2017-18

* Indicates School has no available physical capacity to accommodate additional students

** Indicates Classes are full at that grade, or adjacent grade, and additional moves may trigger more staff