

Middle School Math Textbook Adoption

6/13/17 Minutes

Staff members present: Travis Sims, Seth Bundy, Jenna Velozo, Lynn Rody, Jon Moor, Lisa Kadobayashi, Julie Gatti, Helen Gerety, Wendy Miller, Anita Koyier-Mwamba, Jasmine Riach, Jennifer Brown-Mendoza

Community members present: Charity Allen, Colleen Bettis, Frederick Ngobi, Andrew Reder

Adoption coordinators: Anna Box

1. The committee approved minutes from the 5/30/17 meeting.
2. In affinity groups, committee members finished their reviews of all products.
3. Groups shared their scores on each program.
4. The committee received community feedback on all programs.
5. Deliberation for the short list of materials to pilot went as follows:
 - A. A committee member noticed that only *enVision* received average or above ratings from both the community and the adoption committee. For all other textual materials reviewed, one or both groups scored them below average. In fact, *CMP 3* was low for both the committee and the community. The committee voted to place *enVision* on the short list and remove *CMP 3* from consideration. The chart below shows the ranking of textual materials to be considered for Round 2 of the adoption process; 1 is the highest score and, 6 is lowest.

Textual Material	Committee Rank - <i>including</i> budget	Community Rank
<i>Math in Focus</i>	6	1
<i>Glencoe</i>	4	2
<i>Envision</i>	1	3
<i>Big Ideas</i>	2	4
<i>Go Math</i>	3	5
<i>Connected Math Project 3</i>	5	6

- B. Since all submitted textual materials were within the required budget constraints, in an effort to find more agreement between the committee's review and the community's review, a committee member suggested the committee look at the materials independent of points awarded for budget. That is, simply on merits of the text, what were the committee rankings? The table below shows the committee's rank excluding points awarded for budget.

Textual Material	Committee Rank - <i>excluding</i> budget	Community Rank
<i>Math in Focus</i>	6	1
<i>Glencoe</i>	2	2
<i>Envision</i>	1	3
<i>Big Ideas</i>	3	4
<i>Go Math</i>	4	5
<i>Connected Math Project 3</i>	5	6

- C. A committee member noted that *Glencoe* now joins *enVision* as being above average for both groups. The committee approved adding *Glencoe* to the short list.
- D. After much discussion and many votes, none of the other programs were added to the short list of programs to be piloted.
- E. As a result of this analysis and deliberation, the adoption committee voted to pilot *enVision* and *Glencoe* only.